UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,173	08/28/2003	Mengtao Pete He	29930.8500	5963
48236 7590 10/01/2007 SNELL & WILMER, LLP (Dial Corp)			EXAMINER	
ONE ARIZONA CENTER			PAIK, SANG YEOP	
400 E. VAN B PHOENIZ, AZ			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3742	
		•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/01/2007	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket@swlaw.com

	r				
: 	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/650,173	HE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sang Y. Paik	3742			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .	·			
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 July 2004 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/8/06, 10/12/04, 6/14/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 3742

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-13, drawn to a vapor-dispensing device, classified in class 392, subclass
     394.
- II. Claims 14-22, drawn to an electrical device, classified in class 174, subclass 67.The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because both the combination and subcombination are assumed to be both patentable with the combination not requiring the specific details of the subcombination. The subcombination has separate utility such as an alternative duplex electrical outlet adaptor.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable

Art Unit: 3742

in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Boyd on 9/25/07 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of I, claims 1-13. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 14-22 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

#### **Drawings**

6. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the rip-cord and the fan as claimed in claim 12 and claim 13, respectively, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing

Art Unit: 3742

sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear what would structure and limitations would meet the recited "electrical receptacle" or the "electrical outlet" since there can be various sizes, profiles or shapes of such receptacles and outlets. Also, in claim 2, it is unclear what structure or element constitutes "an outwardly extending structure."

Art Unit: 3742

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 10. Claims 1, 2, 7, 8 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wefler (US 6,853,801).

Wefler shows the device claimed including a housing with a first and a second outlet, a refill (17) containing a volatizable material provided therein, the refill element is removably attached to the device and is configured to encompass at least two sides of an outlet pattern, and a fan (15). The vaporizable or volatizable material is heated by a heating element and that the vaporizable material can also be volatized passively when the power is not applied to the heating element. Wefler also shows an outwardly extending structure (16) such that the thickness of the refill is substantially same as the distance the outwardly extending structure extends. Also see Figure 10.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/650,173

Art Unit: 3742

12. Claims 3-5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wefler (US 6,853,801) in view of Martin et al (US 5,945,094).

Wefler shows the device claimed except for the refill having a U shape.

Martin shows a refill having a rectangular shape that is inclusive of or that can define a U, L, or C shape. Martin also shows the refill that has a transparent window for viewing the level of volatile material therein, and a heating element for heating the refill.

In view of Martin, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Wefler with the refill having a rectangular shape or any other shapes to alternatively provide aesthetically different shapes and sizes for the user.

13. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wefler in view of Martin as applied to claims above, and further in view of Santini (US 4,413,779) or Richards (US 6,667,006).

Wefler in view of Martin shows the device claimed except for a first and second wick in contact with an eminator.

Santini shows a fragrance delivery system including a transparent glass container or vessel containing the volatile refill material wherein an eminator (5) along with a pair of wicks are provided in the chamber of the vessel. Richards also shows that it is known in the art to provide an eminator in contact with a wick.

In view of Santini or Richards, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to adapt Wefler, as modified by Martin, with the recited fragrance delivery system having an eminator with a pair of wick in contact with the eminator as an alternative means to effectively

Art Unit: 3742

and evenly draw the refill material to the eminator so that the volatile material can be more effectively dispersed and heated by the heating element.

With respect to claim 11, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the dimensions of the eminator and the wicks depending on the desired evaporation rate and intensity since larger the dimensions more they are exposed to the refill volatizable material which would increase the rate and intensity the material.

With respect to claim 12, Martin shows a film or membrane (16) that can be ripped or pulled to uncover the emanating portion of a wick. In view of Martin, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the refill with a rip-cord to prevent undesired evaporation of the volatile material from the eminator through the wicks prior to use in the recited device.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sang Y. Paik whose telephone number is 571-272-4783. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-3:30) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tu Hoang can be reached on 571-272-4780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

5.5

Sang Y Paik Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742

syp